

**Minutes of the
CPMA Board of Governors
CPMA/SOCMA Offices
Arlington, VA
June 7, 2017**

I. Call to Order

CPMA President John Marten called the meeting to order at 9:06 am. The following officers and Board members participated: President John Marten (Shepherd), Vice President Eric Christman (BASF), Steve Camenisch (BASF), Brooke DiDomenico (Nation Ford Chemical), Bill Fetterly (BASF), Frank Gillette (Flint), Dave Klebine (Apollo Colors), Ron Levi (Bruchsaler), Myron Petruch (Sun Chemical), Gary Strassell (Shepherd), Aram Terzian (EMD), Luiz Viera (EMD). Also participating: Organic Pigments Committee Chair Robert Mott (Sun Chemical), CPMA Executive Director David J Wawer, CPMA Manager Tatiana Letcheva and CPMA Issues Counsel Glenn Merritt and CPMA General Counsel Harold Fitzpatrick (via-phone). Guests Jennifer Abril (SOCMA President) and Robert Helminiak (SOCMA) joined at 10.15am.

II. Review of CPMA Antitrust Statement

President John Marten noted that CPMA strictly follows antitrust guidelines, and that a summary of such guidelines was attached to the agenda previously distributed to the Board. The full CPMA Antitrust Guidelines can be found in the Members' Section of the CPMA website.

III. Minutes of the April 27, 2017 Board of Governors Meeting

A motion was made (Levi), seconded (Klebine) and approved to accept Board of Governor minutes for April 27, 2017 as previously distributed.

IV. Executive Committee Report

President John Marten opened the meeting by thanking everyone for participating in the previous day's Strategic Planning Workshop at the new CPMA headquarters. The new building, along with the amenities it offers, were received positively by workshop attendees, many of whom also participated in the post-workshop reception in the new Boardroom. Recommendations from the Workshop will be consolidated during the summer and presented to the CPMA Board for adoption at its September 2017 meeting in Covington, KY.

President John Marten presented a report to the Board on discussions from the June 2, 2017 Executive Committee call about extending the management agreement between CPMA and SOCMA. The Executive Committee has reviewed in detail a draft agreement for the period January 1, 2018 to December 31, 2020. The Executive Committee has asked SOCMA to provide additional information on management services, which will be reviewed at the next Executive Committee meeting in July. Chairman Marten outlined the timeline for reviewing additional information from SOCMA, with the goal of presenting a final recommendation to the CPMA Board of Governors at its September 2017 meeting in Cincinnati. Chairman John Marten commissioned a confidential survey to primary Board members following the April 27, 2017 Board of Governors meeting. The objective of this confidential survey was to enable CPMA

member companies to provide the Chairman with individual feedback regarding the CPMA-SOCMA agreement along with recommendations for value-added improvements. Chairman Marten presented consolidated results of that survey (Addendum A). There was unanimous agreement by CPMA member companies to continue the management agreement between CPMA and SOCMA. The presentation of survey results and recommendations was followed by additional discussion and specific recommendations from Primary Board members for improving the value proposition for CPMA (Addendum B). Those recommendations will be considered in finalizing a management agreement between CPMA and SOCMA for the next three fiscal years.

V. Secretary-Treasurer's Report

- A. FY2017 April Financial Report:** Executive Director David Wawer presented a summary of the April 2017 financial report (Addendum C). The association is on target to meet dues revenues of \$720,000. Prospective non-dues revenues for 2017 include licensing of CPMA-owned studies for chemical registrations outside of North America, as well as future royalties from sales of the NAPIM Raw Pigments handbook. That latter document is being updated from an earlier version, with publication anticipated in the fourth quarter of 2017. Four-month budget year expenses show a variance of (\$816). Marketing expenses to date reflect publication of the 2016 CPMA Annual Report. Agency Counsel expenses reflect the continued ramp-up of TSCA implementation during the first half of 2017, promulgation of Lautenberg Rules, meetings with EPA, and the new EPA risk evaluation program which includes focus on Pigment Violet 29. Industry consultant activity during the first half of 2017 reflects activities for TSCA Inventory Reset Rule and EPA Nanomaterials Rule promulgation and implementation.
- B. Membership Recruitment:** Membership recruitment strategy for 2017 was presented by Executive Director David Wawer. Board members have been identifying membership prospects in the pigments manufacturing, pigments dispersions & preparations, and chemical distributors membership categories. These are reflected in membership targets spreadsheet distributed at the April Board of Governors meeting. The recent CPMA presentation to Toyo Inks in Chicago was discussed. Board members suggested that communications to membership prospects include statements on legislative and regulatory "wins" facilitated by CPMA, as well as describing future regulatory challenges such as TSCA Inventory Reset. Prospective members will be invited to attend September and December members' meetings to learn more about the CPMA value proposition and meet Board members. The 2017 membership objective is to recruit at least one new member.
- C. Industry Coalitions:** CPMA continues to engage and leverage global industry trade association partners as well as downstream customer trade associations to achieve 2017 policy objectives. NAPIM participated with CPMA in the May 9, 2017 meeting in Spokane, Washington with the Spokane River Task Force. NAPIM Technical Director George Fuch's presentation on printing ink manufacturing, coupled with the CPMA presentation on color pigments manufacturing chemistry, contributed significantly to dispelling previous myths. CPMA Program Manager Tatiana Letcheva participated in the 2017 ETAD General Assembly in Ireland (Addendum D) as part of CPMA's mission to strengthen working relationships with European color pigments trade associations.
- D. Ownership of CPMA Studies:** A summary report on ownership and future use of CPMA color pigments toxicological studies for DMAA was presented to the Board (Addendum E). It was developed by CPMA General Counsel Harold Fitzpatrick at the request of the CPMA Executive Director. This research was conducted following a lengthy discussion about the topic of studies ownership at the April 2017 Board of Governors meeting.

The conclusion: CPMA has ownership rights to the four DMAA studies developed in 2006. Two former CPMA members, Eastman Chemical and Lonza, have been deemed to have access rights (no fee) to the studies strictly for EU REACH Registration purposes, per the 2009 CPMA Policy on ownership and use of studies beyond their original use (USEPA HPV Test Rule Program of 1999-2008). Per 2009 CPMA Policy, both of these companies would have to submit a formal request to CPMA in order to be granted permission to reference these CPMA-owned studies in any EU REACH registration. It is anticipated that Eastman Chemical will request such permission for REACH Registration as a small quantity producer in the next few months. Lonza, which registered DMAA for REACH in 2013, failed to adhere to CPMA policy to request formal permission from CPMA, but has acknowledged in communication with CPMA that CPMA is the owner of the four DMAA studies referenced in their 2013 REACH Registration. The 2009 CPMA Policy acknowledges that fees can be charged to non-CPMA companies that request permission to reference any of the four DMAA studies for EU REACH registration. There was a brief discussion about appropriate fees, with suggestions from Board members to consider substantial fees for non-CPMA members. The example of the licensing of complex inorganic pigments studies in 2010 to the IP Consortium in Spain for \$90,000 was used to indicate CPMA precedence for charging external groups substantial fees for EU REACH licensing rights.

General Counsel research identified several other CPMA-owned studies for which licensing and use fees may be charged to companies by CPMA, depending upon global pigments industry demand and interest. They include: five Monoarylide Yellow studies, four NAT and CAT studies, three Reflex Blue studies, and one Pigment Red 81 study. Additional research of historical CPMA communications and documents will be completed in the next two months. At the same time, CPMA staff will begin to review and analyze current REACH Registrations to determine if any color pigments related to these studies have been referenced by companies, and communicate with such companies regarding the CPMA ownership and licensing use process.

VI. Committee Reports

General Issues Committee Chair Steve Camenisch provided an update on 2nd quarter committee achievements and ongoing issues including most recent developments of the EPA Nano Rule (Addendum F). The committee met in May to analyze EPA's draft guidance on the final nanomaterials reporting rule and discuss comments to the Agency as well as collaboration with downstream customer trade associations. Organic Pigments Committee Chair Robert Mott reported on 2nd quarter committee achievements and provided a summary of outcomes from the May 9th stakeholder meeting in Spokane Washington (Addendum F). Presentations by CPMA and NAPIM technical experts were well received by representatives of the Spokane River Task Force. Inorganic Pigments Committee Chair Aram Terzian presented the Board with 2nd quarter committee achievements, including objectives of the white paper project for risk assessment methods of inorganic pigments as discussed by the committee and the two toxicologist consultants in May (Addendum F).

VII. SOCMA Government Relations Objectives 2017-2018

Robert Helminiak, Managing Director of Government Relations at SOCMA provided the Board with an overview of SOCMA's regulatory focus and the political climate in Washington, DC (Addendum G).

VIII. Adjournment

There being no other business, the meeting adjourned at 11:00am.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "David J. Wawer". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large, prominent "D" and "W".

David J Wawer
Secretary

Copyright © 2017, Color Pigments Manufacturers Association, Inc. All rights reserved.

Distribution, Reproduction and Transmission Restrictions

No reproduction or transmission of this copyrighted publication, document, webpage or other correspondence including electronic messages and e-mail or information contained with such communication (hereafter "Document"), or any portion thereof, in any form or by any means, including electronic transmission using the internet or e-mail, is permitted without the express written permission of the Color Pigments Manufacturers Association, Inc. (CPMA). This CPMA Document and any information contained within may not be distributed further to any other person or organization. Requests to publish, copy, excerpt or distribute any CPMA Document should be addressed in writing to the CPMA. Any CPMA Document is confidential and copyrighted and may contain information which is privileged. Any publication, dissemination, distribution or copying of this Document is strictly prohibited. This Document does not constitute a consent to the use of CPMA contact information, or contact information for any CPMA members, for direct marketing purposes or for transfers of data to third parties. If you did not receive this Document directly from CPMA (or without the permission of CPMA), you are not intended to have this. If the reader of this Document is not the intended recipient employed currently by a CPMA member organization, you are hereby notified that you received this document in violation of these restrictions. If you received this Document in error or in violation of these restrictions, please notify the CPMA immediately by telephone at 202-465-4900 and return the original Document to the CPMA via the U.S. Postal Service at 1400 Crystal Drive, Suite 630, Arlington, VA 22202. The letters "CPMA" and logo may not be used without the express written permission of CPMA.

CPMA-SOCMA Management Agreement

Looking Forward 2020

Accomplishments 2014-2017

- Membership stability
- Focused objectives
- Streamlined advocacy process producing measurable results
- Expanded public policy resources
- Effective international partnerships with Eurocolour, ETAD, ICG and Colour Index
- North American customer-focused partnerships with NAPIM, ACA, PCPC, ACI, CCFTA and others

CPMA Board Members Survey Results

- 11/12 companies completed survey (May 2017)
- 100% support current CPMA structure: fewer committees, focused objectives
- 10 companies view CPMA as a legal and regulatory shield for the color pigments industry. 11th company views CPMA as an excellent resource to help governments create better regulations and to help stop/reduce bad regulations
- 9 companies would like CPMA to recruit new members
- 8 companies would like to see CPMA expand working relationships with downstream customer associations
- 6 companies would like CPMA to develop a media strategy that promotes the value of color pigments
- 5 companies would like CPMA to develop programs for business to business engagement with industry customers and suppliers

CPMA Board Members Survey Results

- 100% endorse CPMA-SOCMA partnership and support extension of management agreement
- 100% believe the partnership adds value
- 100% want to identify additional cost-saving synergies
- 100% want to leverage SOCMA's access to agencies and regulators to benefit CPMA advocacy
- 9 companies want to continue participation in SOCMA networking/supply chain events
- 8 companies support opportunities to promote the color pigments industry within the framework of specialty chemicals
- 6 companies want to see more website support

Value Proposition 2020

- Executive Committee recommendation: Extend CPMA-SOCMA management partnership to 2020
 - Continue integration of resource synergies: Finance, IT, Marketing & Communications

Management Agreement Timeline

- Management agreement review, May 2017 - Executive Committee
- Management agreement discussion, June 2017 - Board of Governors
- 2nd round management agreement review, June/July 2017 - Executive Committee
- Management agreement finalization, September 2017 - Board of Governors

July 14, 2017

To: CPMA Board of Governors

From: David J Wawer, Executive Director

Subject: Board Input on CPMA-SOCMA Partnership at June 7 Board Meeting

CPMA Chair John Marten requested input from primary Board Members at the June 7 Board meeting regarding opportunities for improvement and the CPMA-SOCMA partnership. Provided for your information is a summary of those comments, including feedback from primary Board Members who were unable to attend the meeting in person.

General comments:

- Fully supportive of relationship with SOCMA; very beneficial
- Feel comfortable under the SOCMA umbrella
- CPMA has improved significantly and is a well-managed association under SOCMA
- Recommendation for a periodic summary report on SOCMA issues that impact CPMA

Association programs:

- Leverage SOCMA events – consider co-promotional activities to gain visibility in specialty chemicals sector
- Utilize SOCMA's GR and PR resources, methods and programs they have in place to work towards advocacy and education goals discussed at strategic planning session.
- Less focus on acting as a "regulatory shield" and more on advocating and lobbying for better regulations
- Focus on CPMA's primary regulatory function to control costs
- The website could use work but it would be best to keep it simple and focused

Costs:

- Commitment to continuous improvement on finance side
- Focus on effective use of resources and cost efficiencies
- Keep costs/Management Agreement fee flat
- Review cost breakdown to assess the right management fee needed
- Have done a good job with reducing costs to date (approx. 50% reduction from previous years) and would be difficult to continue driving costs down
- Some companies pay dues to both CPMA and SOCMA and would like to see a reduction

Questions raised:

- Should CPMA remain an independent association or become a subgroup of SOCMA?
- Believe CPMA should maintain stand-alone status

May 31, 2017

To: Board of Governors

From: David J Wawer, CPMA Executive Director

Subject: FY2017 April Financial Report

Financial reports for the first four months of calendar fiscal year 2017 are attached for your review.

Revenues for the four-month period are \$470,337. Dues revenue is projected to reach the year-end goal of \$720,000. Interest income is slightly below annual projections based upon fluctuations in the financial markets. The April Board Meeting was hosted by BASF and negated the need for a registration fee. The Other Income category consists of non-dues revenue from licensing of CPMA studies for REACH purposes and sales royalties from the 2017 NAPIM Pigments Raw Materials Handbook (scheduled for initial publication late summer)

Expenses for the four-month period are \$248,031. Expense categories for Management and Issues Counsel are on track to meet year-end targets. Agency Counsel expense of \$22,905 and Industry Consultant expense of \$14,450 are associated with implementation of multiple regulations associated with the Lautenberg Act, including Inventory Reset, Risk Prioritization, and Risk Evaluation. It also reflects significant EPA activity on the risk evaluation of Pigment Violet 29, and CPMA's need to proactively engage with the Agency on this issue. Significant EPA and White House Regulatory Reform initiatives, including review of Obama Era regulations such as Nanomaterials Reporting Requirements, have been a significant regulatory focus by CPMA during the first four months of the fiscal year. Marketing expense of \$3868 includes production and printing of the 2016 Annual Report as well as sponsorship of the NAPIM Annual Convention. Travel & Conference of \$9,872 includes Canada ICG, NAPIM Annual Convention, ETAD General Assembly, NAM CEO Conference, membership recruitment & retention, and Board meetings. Total expenses for the four-month period are running slightly behind target by \$2,639.

COLOR PIGMENTS MANUFACTURERS ASSOCIATION, INC.
BALANCE SHEET
AS OF APRIL 30, 2017

	APRIL 2017	APRIL 2016
<u>CURRENT ASSETS</u>		
<u>CASH:</u>		
Checking & On Hand	\$ 20,662	\$ 27,750
Money Market Funds	144,898	262,440
Investments	182,275	184,350
TOTAL CASH	347,835	474,539
Accounts Receivable	184,556	42,888
TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS	532,390	517,427
Website Design & Dev	17,163	0
TOTAL FIXED ASSETS	17,163	0
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 549,553	\$ 517,427
<u>LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE</u>		
<u>CURRENT LIABILITIES</u>		
CPMA Credit Card	0	3,977
Accounts Payable	1,375	1,050
TOTAL LIABILITIES	1,375	5,027
<u>FUND BALANCE</u>		
Balance January 1, 2017	324,030	290,881
Net Increase in Fund Balance	224,148	221,519
TOTAL FUND BALANCE	548,178	512,400
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE	\$ 549,553	\$ 517,427

Revised 5/4/17

COLOR PIGMENTS MANUFACTURERS ASSOCIATION, INC.
STATEMENT OF REVENUES AND EXPENSES
FOR THE MONTH OF APRIL 2017 AND FISCAL YEAR TO DATE

<u>INCOME</u>	BUDGET		ACTUAL FOR		BUDGET		ACTUAL		BUDGET
	FY17		APRIL 2017		TO DATE		TO DATE		VARIANCE
Dues & Assessments	720,000		96,497		470,337		470,338	\$	1
Interest	5,500		443		1,833		1,647		(186)
Members Meeting Income	0		0		0		195		195
Other Income	5,500		0		1,833		0		(1,833)
TOTAL INCOME	\$731,000	\$	96,941	\$	474,003	\$	472,180	\$	(1,824)
 <u>OPERATING EXPENSES</u>									
Management Fee	350,722		29,227		116,907		116,907		0
Agency Counsel	35,000		1,215		11,667		22,905		(11,238)
Issues Counsel	175,000		14,583		58,333		58,333		0
General Counsel	15,000		1,250		5,000		5,000		0
General Counsel Expenses	1,500		0		375		0		375
Industry Consultants	25,000		3,125		8,333		14,450		(6,117)
Acctg-Auditor	9,000		0		9,000		2,750		6,250
Acctg/Bookkeeping	24,000		1,800		9,200		9,045		155
Board, Membership & Committee Mtgs	7,500		0		2,500		85		2,415
Website-CPMA	10,000		51		3,333		918		2,415
Memberships & Dues	12,500		0		4,400		2,400		2,000
General Office Expenses	6,000		568		2,000		1,498		503
Marketing	7,500		765		2,500		3,868		(1,368)
Travel & Conference	36,000		1,814		12,000		9,872		2,128
Depreciation/Amortization	5,000		0		0		0		0
Reserve--Contingency	5,000		0		1,667		0		1,667
TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES	\$724,722	\$	54,398	\$	247,216	\$	248,031	\$	(816)
NET INCREASE (DECREASE)									
IN FUND BALANCE	\$6,278	\$	42,543	\$	226,788	\$	224,148	\$	(2,639)

Revised 5/4/17

Addendum D

TSCA IMPLEMENTATION & PCBS UPDATE

Tatiana Letcheva
110th POC Meeting
Thursday 11th May, 2017





Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) Reform

- Frank R. Lautenberg Chemical Safety for the 21st Century Act (LCSEA) enacted June 22, 2016 significantly amended the Toxic Substances Control Act of 1976
- Implementation underway at EPA:
 - Proposed Risk Prioritization Rule
 - Proposed Risk Evaluation Rule
 - Proposed TSCA Inventory Reset Rule
- Rules must be finalized by June 22, 2017 (uncertain)



Existing Chemicals

Prioritization:

- Pre-prioritization, initiation, proposed designation and final designation (low or high priority)
- Public comment opportunities at initiation and proposal
- Much of the action to take place at “pre-prioritization”

Evaluation:

- Scope, hazard assessment, exposure assessment, risk characterization, peer review, unreasonable risk determination
- Public comment opportunities at draft scope and draft evaluation



Inventory Reset

- 180 days to notify EPA about any chemicals manufactured for non-exempt commercial purposes during the last 10 years
- EPA will begin with the 2012 and 2016 Chemical Data Reports
- Processors will have an additional 180 days to add active substances after list is generated
- CPMA supports EPA's proposal to define reportable chemicals by using only the existing TSCA inventory entries & a "one & done" approach to reporting by manufacturers, reducing reporting burdens
- CPMA opposes requiring reporting of actual production dates within the last 10 years; burdensome and not authorized by TSCA



New Chemicals

- EPA required to make affirmative determinations on pre-manufacture notices (PMNs)
- EPA's review/determination delayed during implementation:
 - All new chemical applications were re-set in June 2016 (90 day review period)
 - ~ 10% of submissions approved, over 500 PMNs pending
 - More detailed information requested from submitter
- EPA expected to convene stakeholder meeting in June



First Ten Substances

- EPA required to select 10 chemicals (from the 2014 EPA Work Plan list of 90) for risk evaluation over the next 3 years
- Amended TSCA to review all chemicals already on the market for potential hazard & exposure
- Process not yet established
- Substances announced Nov 2016:
 - 1, 4 Dioxane
 - 1-Bromopropane
 - Asbestos
 - Carbon Tetrachloride
 - Cyclic Aliphatic Bromide Cluster (HBCD)
 - Methylene Chloride
 - N-Methylpyrrolidone
 - **Pigment Violet 29**
 - Trichloroethylene
 - Tetrachloroethylene



Pigment Violet 29 Risk Evaluation

Selection criteria:

- PV29 scored high for aquatic toxicity on the 2014 Work Plan based on a model used by EPA
- 2012 Chemical Data Reporting indicated that PV29 is used in consumer products

1st phase (Dec 2016 – March 2017) : EPA stakeholder outreach to collect data on uses and potential exposure

- Published uses document for comment
- Held public stakeholder meeting



Pigment Violet 29 Risk Evaluation

- CPMA submitted comments and met with EPA
 - EPA must rely on best available science: REACH dossier for PV29 and its analogs show pigment is not toxic
 - PV29 already deemed safe in Europe and in the Canada
 - EPA must only evaluate the risk of reasonably foreseen conditions of use and does not need to evaluate all foreseeable conditions of use
- EPA indicated it must look at all data; robust study summaries might not be sufficient (acute fish toxicity study from 2013 REACH dossier)
- EPA to publish Scope of Risk Evaluation based on stakeholder input and available data by June 19, 2017 for public comment



PCBs Update: Background

EPA:

- Inadvertent PCBs in the manufacture of color pigments have been limited to a maximum 50 ppm and average 25 ppm since the 1970s
- Pending National Toxicology Program (NTP) toxicity study for PCB 11

Washington State Activity:

- In 2015 Washington State Department of Ecology (DOE) finalized a Chemical Action Plan for PCBs
- In November 2016 Washington State DOE published a study on PCB's in products in Washington State
- DOE has been working with industry in Washington state to update state water quality standards

Table 1. Summary of sources, reservoirs, releases, exposures and priorities.

Source	Legacy reservoir of PCBs	Annual releases of PCBs (kg/yr)	Potential exposure pathways and concerns
Historic uses			
transformers	100-200 kg	< 2	Accidental spills, which are identified and cleaned up.
large capacitors	20 metric tons	10 to 80	Accidental spills, which are identified and cleaned up.
lamp ballasts	100-350 metric tons	400 to 1,500	Continual release of lower concentrations, with high concentrations released when the ballast fails.
small capacitors	1-35 metric tons	3 to 150	Disposal in landfills from a variety of old appliances.
other closed uses		unknown	
caulk	87 metric tons	160	Continual release of lower concentrations into the air, with high concentrations released when materials are disturbed.
other open uses		unknown	
Current generation			
pigments and dyes	N/A	0.02 to 31 ^a	Continual release of lower concentrations, with higher concentrations released during recycling.
other inadvertent generation	N/A	900	Concerns about both continual releases and potential large releases.
residential waste burning	N/A	199	Released to air and already addressed by current regulations.
commercial marine vehicles	N/A	0.4	Released to air and already addressed by current regulations.

a. This estimate is for PCB-11, although additional congeners are present.

- 2015 PCBs Chemical Action Plan describes pigments as a very small contributor (at most 1%) of PCBs (Table 1)

- November 2016 Washington Department of Ecology study of PCBs in consumer products concluded none of the analyzed products (mostly yellow and green) exceed 1ppm



PCBs Stakeholder Outreach

Challenge:

- Possible marketplace disturbances resulting from government, academics and NGO's focus on pigments as a source of PCBs
- Increasing uncertainty among product users leading to potential substitution/deselection or bans

CPMA's Role:

- Identify public groups targeting pigments as a source of PCBs & monitor/counter their actions in the public domain
- Develop a unified industry position
- Stay ahead & engage with government agencies at all levels



PCBs Stakeholder Outreach

- **June 2016** – CPMA members participated in the **American Chemical Society Green Chemistry Conference** to deliver strong messages about the safety and value of color pigments in society
- **May 2017**- in collaboration with the National Association of Printing Inks, CPMA will meet with government and industry stakeholders in **Washington State** to promote better understanding of manufacturing processes for color pigments and printing inks

Questions?

Thank You!

Tatiana Letcheva
CPMA Manager
tatianaletcheva@cpma.com



Ownership of CPMA Studies Analysis
June 2, 2017

1. Who has ownership of the four DMAA toxicological studies?

The Color Pigments Manufacturers Association, Inc. The four contracts with the laboratories for the DMAA studies, as approved in Committee and Board minutes, reflect the approval of such a provision. The Committee participants were aware of this approach, which came long before the 2009 ownership policy, which was designed to establish REACH cost procedures primarily.

2. Who has use/access rights to the four DMAA toxicological studies for EU REACH registration purposes?

The CPMA Committee participants who participated in paying for the studies. In the DMAA case, Eastman and Lonza. Of course, at the time the participants made these decisions, use of the studies was contemplated to be limited to EPA HPV purposes. REACH was not considered as an application of the studies nor an intended use. Ownership and control language was directed to permit CPMA to address future developments, such as REACH, in an equitable manner to participants, while protecting industry-wide developments.

3. Can fees be charged by CPMA to other entities for use/access rights?

Past precedent of charging outside entities for use of CPMA studies for REACH registration requires consideration of charging fees for DMAA and other studies, particularly based on the example of fees charged involving the NAT and CAT studies. The 2009 study policy indicated fees could be charged to companies that were not CPMA members, and who had not participated in product studies. Full analysis of the precedent requires additional CPMA file research, which has not yet been completed.

There are five packages of studies as follows:

- Monoarylide Yellow - 5 studies – 1995; Total Cost - \$15,000.00
- NAT and CAT - 4 studies - 1995 and 1996
*sold REACH rights to IP Consortium for replacement cost of \$90,000.00
Total Cost - \$25,000.00
- DMAA - 4 studies – 2006; Total Cost - \$140,000.00
- Reflex Blue - 3 studies – 2006; Total Cost - \$350,000.00
- Red 81 - 1 study – 2011; [submitted as CBI to Canada]; Total Cost - \$15,000.00

General Issues Committee

STEVE CAMENISCH, CHAIR

JUNE 7, 2017

BOARD OF GOVERNORS REPORT



2nd Quarter Achievements

- EPA adopted CPMA recommendations on nanomaterials definitions in Final Rule (Objective #2)
- EPA draft nanomaterials rule guidance document continues to reflect CPMA recommendations (Objective #2)
- Canada nanomaterials reporting rule delayed until early 2018 (Objective #2)
- Comments submitted to Environment & Climate Change Canada on Mandatory Surveys, Domestic Substance List, and CMP 3 (Objective #1)
- Comments submitted to EPA on Risk Prioritization and Risk Evaluation proposed rules (Objective #6)
- CPMA presentation to ETAD POC on EPA Nanomaterials Reporting Rule (Objective #2)

Inorganic Pigments Committee

ARAM TERZIAN, CHAIR

JUNE 7, 2017

BOARD OF GOVERNORS REPORT



2nd Quarter Achievements

- Draft EPA Inventory Reset Rule acknowledges CPMA advocacy position to retain existing EPA nomenclature definitions (Objective #2)
- Continued dialogue with Eurocolour on draft ECHA REACH Registration composition definitions for Inorganic Pigments (Objective #1)
- Provided Environment & Climate Change Canada with Bismuth Vanadate Tox Study through partnership with Eurocolour (Objective #3)
- White Paper Project on risk assessment approach for Inorganic Pigments Summer-Fall 2017 (Objective #4)

White Paper Objectives

- Focus on Inorganic Pigments
- Avoid damage to risk assessment for Organic Pigments
- Identify more effective approach of Risk Assessment for IOP's because of differences in metal species; common methodology for human health risk assessment for regulators to follow; harmonization on a correct method for IOP Risk Assessment
- Redefinition of what risk assessment means in an inorganic approach versus an organic approach; look at the material to find information that would be critical for valid risk assessments
- White Paper Style-Practical; field guide; understanding characteristics of IOP's
- Guide the kind of information that should be submitted to government agencies to put them on the correct road; suggests how information should be considered in terms of exposures
- Will not overreach-health versus environment

White Paper Objectives

- Opportunity for CPMA because EPA will be struggling with evaluating chemicals under Lautenberg Act
- Opportunity to streamline EPA processes; industry can make it easier for EPA to accomplish its goals
- Educate government agencies, colleges and universities, and technical scientific societies

Organic Pigments Committee

DR. ROBERT MOTT, CHAIR

JUNE 7, 2017

BOARD OF GOVERNORS REPORT



2nd Quarter Achievements


- Successful presentations and dialogue with Inland Empire Paper and Spokane River Task Force (Objective #2)
- Submission of technical information to EPA as part of PV 29 Risk Evaluation Scoping Exercise (Objective #1)
- Monitoring of Federal and State activities on PCB's (EPA HQ Comments to Spokane River Task Force) (Objective #2)
- Submission of technical information to Health Canada as part of CMP 3 evaluation of organic pigments (Objective #3)
- CPMA presentation to ETAD POC on North American PCB initiatives (Objective #4)

Today's Agenda

Addendum G

- Helminiak Background
- Washington, DC
- Issues
- Big Picture
- Q&A






DAVE GRANLUND © www.davegranlund.com




HERE,
NOW DON'T
MESS IT UP



House Make Up



Senate Make Up



The only time Congress bothers to lift a finger...





DAVE GRANLUND © www.davegranlund.com

Issues



- Toxic substances Control Act -- Frank R. Lautenberg Chemical Safety for the 21st Century Act
- Risk Management Program
- Hazardous Waste Generator Rule
- Regulatory Reform
- Trade – NAFTA
- Miscellaneous Tariff Bill
- Chemical Facility Anti-Terrorism Standards
- Labor/OSHA
- 1-In-2-Out
- Fly-In



SOCMA Moving Forward

- Divisions
- New Issues Task Force
- GR Steering Committee



ToonClips.com

#11400

service@toonclips.com



Questions?